

Comments and Critique

CD44 and its Role in Tumour Progression and Metastasis

Jayne A. East and Ian R. Hart

THE NATURE of the transmembrane glycoprotein molecule CD44 [1] and the role it plays in tumour development and progression are matters which are rapidly becoming of interest not only to basic researchers but also to cancer clinicians and pathologists. Initially identified and studied in many cell systems, CD44 was known by several synonyms, including phagocytic glycoprotein-1 (Pgp-1) [2], extracellular matrix receptor III (ECM-III) [3], and HUTCH-1 [4]. When these individual molecules were shown to be the same [4-6], the name CD44 was assigned at the Third International Workshop on Leukocyte Differentiation Antigens [7]. Resolution of the cDNA sequence [8] revealed considerable homology with the cartilage link proteins involved in adhesion between hyaluronate and other proteoglycans in the extracellular matrix [9]. Subsequently, CD44 was found to have at least two functions, as a receptor for the glycosaminoglycan hyaluronate [10] and as a lymphocyte homing receptor [11, 12]. It was this latter role in the normal recirculation of lymphocytes that led, initially by analogy, to interest in the possible role of CD44 in regulating tumour cell dissemination.

The standard or haemopoietic form of CD44 (CD44s), which is found predominantly on haemopoietic cells, is synthesised as a 37kD core protein which can be modified extensively by glycosylation and by addition of chondroitin sulphate [8, 13, 14]. Epithelial cells produce a larger core protein form of CD44, CD44E, which is generated by alternative RNA splicing [8]. At present the ligand for CD44E remains unknown though, in direct contrast to CD44s, it has been shown not to facilitate binding to hyaluronate [15, 16].

The discovery that alternative RNA splicing could lead to the generation of a larger epithelial isoform of CD44 was rapidly followed by the exciting findings of Gunthert *et al.* [17]. A molecule expressed only on metastatic rat pancreatic carcinoma cells, compared with their benign counterparts, was shown to be another variant of CD44, designated CD44v or pMeta-1. This variant was also generated by alternative splicing of the RNA, resulting in the addition of 162 amino acids into the same site as that used to generate CD44E [17].

Subsequent investigations have revealed that the extensive isoform heterogeneity of CD44 is in fact a consequence of splicing choice from 10 variant exons [18, 19]. Many of these variants have been shown to be expressed by a range of human tumour cell lines [20] suggesting that the association between isoform expression and metastatic behaviour, initially deter-

mined in rodent tumours [17, 19], may extend to neoplasia in man. Indeed, two recent reports, using the technique of reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) with oligonucleotides which flank the variant-insertion site, have suggested a remarkable correlation between increased malignancy and splice-variant expression [21, 22]. Proof that such a correlation is a general phenomenon clearly would have very important implications in diagnosis and prognosis. Unfortunately, the situation is not yet this clear-cut. Confusion over the complicated exon boundaries, exacerbated by the different nomenclature adopted by various groups, has meant that the specific variant isoform identified in more malignant tumours need not necessarily be the generally accepted metastasis-associated isoform [22]. More fundamental still is the problem posed by the technology used to demonstrate the existence of specific variants. RT-PCR on clinical material [21, 22] is not as unequivocal in terms of cellular origin as when tissue culture lines are used as the starting material [20]. Infiltrating stromal cells can contribute to the isoform pattern detected; a particularly significant observation given that activated lymphocytes can express the so-called meta (metastasis-associated) variant of CD44 [23]. Though the unequivocal cellular source of specific isoforms need not be essential information, (as long as benign neoplasms are distinguishable from malignant, the technique would still be valid as a diagnostic/prognostic tool), the possible complicating presence of minor "contaminating" cell types has been cited as a reason for placing a greater dependence on immunohistochemistry as a more robust and dependable method of assessment [24]. An additional benefit to be gained from this latter approach would be the clear demonstration of a specific protein product; the existence of mRNA of different transcript sizes is no guarantee of the eventual presence of the appropriate protein. While such antibody-dependent analysis is still very much at an early stage it is clear that results obtained so far do not accord entirely with the straightforward correlation between variant expression and metastatic behaviour espoused above.

Wirth *et al.* [25], using the monoclonal antibody 1.1ASML [17], which recognises the rat variant CD44 molecule, investigated the tissue distribution of CD44v in newborn and adult rats. They found that the 1.1ASML epitope was expressed in adult rats in a range, albeit a restricted range, of normal tissues including the basal layer of the epidermis and the intestinal crypt cells; additional distribution of the CD44v protein was detected in the prenatal and neonatal stages of development in such tissues as the thyroid, submandibular gland and the ductal cells of the pancreas [25]. Analysis of tissue samples from normal human colon mucosa, colon adenocarcinoma and carcinoma metastases showed increased levels of expression of CD44v in the invasive tumour samples; however, this variant isoform was

Correspondence to J.A. East.

The authors are at the Department of Biology of Metastasis, Imperial Cancer Research Fund Laboratories, 44 Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PX, U.K.

Received and accepted 12 July 1993.

also found expressed in 17 out of 17 adenomatous polyps [26]. Clearly the metastasis-related variant is already expressed at an early stage in colorectal carcinogenesis and is not an invariant consequence of malignant progression. While masking of the CD44v epitope may lead to an underestimation of prevalence of this protein in this type of study, the clear unequivocal demonstration of its presence in at least some benign lesions and normal tissue highlights the likely complexity of the association of this variant glycoprotein with the metastatic process.

Against this background, Abassi *et al.* [27] have now shown that, using the antibody F10.44.2, which will not distinguish between the variant and standard forms, CD44 expression in human adenomatous polyps, colorectal adenocarcinomas (both primary and metastatic deposits) as well as adjacent normal tissue, is associated with cellular proliferation. Such a correlation has been suggested previously [28, 29] but the combination of staining for both expression of CD44 and the proliferation-associated nuclear antigen (Ki-67) clearly establishes this concordance [27]. Surely this association raises another possible mechanism whereby CD44 may facilitate secondary tumour development; that is, is it possible that this cell surface molecule is actually involved in stimulating cell growth? It has been shown in diverse biological systems that proteoglycans in the extracellular matrix can sequester growth factors and/or other cytokines [30, 31]. Could it be that the hyaluronate-cell interaction mediated via CD44 serves to bring the responding cancer cell within close proximity to these sequestered growth stimulating factors? Alternatively, the cell surface proteoglycans, such as CD44, could present growth stimulatory soluble factors to adjacent cells within the tissue/tumour mass. Indeed, Tanaka *et al.* [32] have shown that the cytokine, macrophage inflammatory protein-1 β (MIP-1 β) is capable of being immobilised via its glycosaminoglycan binding site to CD44 and that this immobilisation serves to enhance cytokine-induced modulation of T-cell adhesion.

Whether similar mechanisms are involved in regulating the proliferative response of CD44-expressing colorectal epithelial cells remains conjectural at this time. What is clear is that there will be considerable interest in the role(s) of CD44, both the splice variants and standard form, in tumour development and progression. Future years will see a clearer picture emerge of this involvement and such information may provide new approaches to diagnosis, prognostic assessment and therapy.

1. Dalchau R, Kirkley J, Fabre JW. Monoclonal antibody to a human brain-granulocyte-T lymphocyte antigen probably homologous to the W 3/13 antigen of the rat. *Eur J Immunol* 1980, **10**, 745-749.
2. Hughes EN, Mengod G, August JT. Murine cell surface glycoproteins. *J Biol Chem* 1981, **256**, 7023-7027.
3. Carter WG, Wayner EA. Characterization of the class III collagen receptor, a phosphorylated transmembrane glycoprotein expressed in nucleated human cells. *J Biol Chem* 1988, **263**, 4193-4201.
4. Gallatin WM, Wayner EA, Hoffman PA, St. John T, Butcher EC, Carter WG. Structural homology between receptors for high endothelium and class III extracellular matrix receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1989, **86**, 4654-4658.
5. MacKay CR, Maddox JF, Wijffels GL, Mackay IR, Walker ID. Characterization of a 95,000 molecule on sheep leucocytes homologous to murine Pgp-1 and human CD44. *Immunology* 1988, **65**, 93-99.
6. Omary MB, Trowbridge IS, Letarte M, Kagnoff MF, Isacke C. Structural heterogeneity of human Pgp-1 and its relationship with p85. *Immunogenetics* 1988, **27**, 460-464.
7. Cobbold S, Hale G, Waldmann H. In McMichel AJ, ed. *Leukocyte Typing III: White Cell Differentiation Antigens*. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1987, 788-803.
8. Stamenkovic I, Amiot M, Pesando JM, Seed B. A lymphocyte molecule implicated in lymph node homing is a member of the cartilage link protein family. *Cell* 1989, **56**, 1057-1062.
9. Hook M, Kjellen L, Johansson S, Robinson J. Cell-surface glycosaminoglycans. *Annu Rev Biochem* 1984, **53**, 847-869.
10. Aruffo A, Stamenkovic I, Melnick M, Underhill CB, Seed B. CD44 is the principal cell surface receptor for hyaluronate. *Cell* 1990, **61**, 1303-1313.
11. Jalkanen S, Reichert R, Gallatin WMG, Bargatze RF, Weissman IL, Butcher EC. Homing receptors and the control of lymphocyte migration. *Immunol Rev* 1986, **91**, 39-60.
12. Jalkanen S, Bargatze RF, de los Toyos J, Butcher EC. Lymphocyte recognition of high endothelium: antibodies to distinct epitopes of an 85-90 kD glycoprotein antigen differentially inhibit lymphocyte binding to lymph node, mucosal, or synovial endothelial cells. *J Cell Biol* 1987, **105**, 983-990.
13. Jalkanen ST, Jalkanen M, Bargatze R, Tammi M, Butcher EC. Biochemical properties of glycoproteins involved in lymphocyte recognition of high endothelial venules in man. *J Immunol* 1988, **141**, 1615-1623.
14. Goldstein LA, Zhou DFH, Picker LJ, *et al.* A human lymphocyte homing receptor, the Hermes antigen, is related to cartilage proteoglycan core and link proteins. *Cell* 1989, **56**, 1063-1072.
15. Stamenkovic I, Aruffo A, Amiot M, Seed B. The hematopoietic and epithelial forms of CD44 are distinct polypeptides with different adhesion potentials for hyaluronate-bearing cells. *EMBO J* 1991, **10**, 343-348.
16. Thomas L, Byers HR, Vink J, Stamenkovic I. CD44H regulates tumor cell migration on hyaluronate-coated substrates. *J Cell Biol* 1992, **118**, 971-977.
17. Gunthert U, Hofmann M, Rudy W, *et al.* A new variant of glycoprotein CD44 confers metastatic potential to rat carcinoma cells. *Cell* 1991, **65**, 13-24.
18. Jackson DG, Buckley J, Bell JI. Multiple variants of the human lymphocyte homing receptor CD44 generated by insertions at a single site in the extracellular domain. *J Biol Chem* 1992, **267**, 4732-4739.
19. Rudy W, Hofmann M, Schwartz-Albiez R *et al.* The two major CD44 proteins expressed on a metastatic rat tumor cell line are derived from different splice variants: each one individually suffices to confer metastatic behavior. *Cancer Res* 1993, **53**, 1262-1268.
20. Hofmann M, Rudy W, Zoller M, *et al.* CD44 splice variants confer metastatic behavior in rats: homologous sequences are expressed in human tumour cell lines. *Cancer Res* 1991, **51**, 5292-5297.
21. Matsumura Y, Tarin D. Significance of CD44 gene products for cancer diagnosis and disease evaluation. *Lancet* 1992, **340**, 1053-1058.
22. Tanabe KT, Ellis LM, Saya H. Expression of CD44R1 adhesion molecule in colon carcinomas and metastases (Letter). *Lancet* 1993, **341**, 725-726.
23. Arch R, Wirth K, Hofmann M, *et al.* Participation in normal immune responses of a metastasis-inducing splice variant of CD44. *Science* 1992, **257**, 682-685.
24. Jackson DG, Screaton GR, Bell MV, Bell JI. CD44 and cancer. *Lancet* 1993, **341**, 252.
25. Wirth K, Arch S, Somasundaram C, *et al.* Expression of CD44 isoforms carrying metastasis-associated sequences in newborn and adult rats. *Eur J Cancer* 1993, **29A**, 1172-1177.
26. Heider K-H, Hofmann M, Hors E, *et al.* A human homologue of the rat metastasis-associated variant of CD44 is expressed in colorectal carcinomas and adenomatous polyps. *J Cell Biol* 1993, **120**, 227-233.
27. Abassi AM, Chester KA, Talbot IC, *et al.* CD44 is associated with proliferation in normal and neoplastic human colorectal epithelial cells. *Eur J Cancer* 1993, **29A**, 000-000.
28. Alho AM, Underhill CB. The hyaluronate receptor is preferentially expressed on proliferating epithelial cells. *J Cell Biol* 1989, **108**, 1557-1565.
29. Flanagan BF, Dalchau R, Allen AK, Daar AS, Fabre JW. Chemical composition and tissue distribution of the human CDw44 glycoprotein. *Immunology* 1989, **67**, 167-175.
30. Ruoslahti E, Yamaguchi Y. Proteoglycans as modulators of growth factor activities. *Cell* 1991, **64**, 867-869.
31. Nathan C, Sporn M. Cytokines in context. *J Cell Biol* 1991, **113**, 981-986.
32. Tanaka Y, Adams DH, Hubscher S, Hirano H, Siebenlist U, Shaw S. T-cell adhesion induced by proteoglycan-immobilized cytokine MIP-1 β . *Nature* 1993, **361**, 79-82.